Almost Global Problems in the LOCAL Model

Alkida Balliu, Sebastian Brandt, Dennis Olivetti, and Jukka Suomela

Aalto University, Finland

Almost Global Problems in the LOCAL Model

LOCAL Model



- Distributed network
- Nodes represent machines
- Edges represent communication links
- Synchronous
- Messages of arbitrary size, arbitrary computational power
- Nodes have distinct IDs
- Nodes know the size of the graph
- Complexity measure: number of rounds required to solve a task



3



3



3



3



3



A *t*-round algorithm for the LOCAL model is a mapping from *t*-radius balls to valid outputs.

Locally Checkable Labellings

LCL Problems:

- Introduced by Naor and Stockmeyer in 1995
- Constant-size input labels
- Constant-size output labels
- The maximum degree is constant
- Validity of the output is locally checkable

Locally Checkable Labellings (Example)



3 D

A B > A B >

Locally Checkable Labellings (Example)



A (1) > A (1) > A

Locally Checkable Labellings (Example)



- Δ + 1 vertex colouring:
 - The input is empty
 - The output is in $\{1, \dots, \Delta + 1\}$
 - Nodes can check in 1 round if the colouring is valid

Local checkability

There must be a constant time distributed algorithm that is able to check the solution, such that:

 If the output is globally correct, all nodes accept.



• If there is an error, at least a node rejects.



< 17 > 4

Locally Checkable Labellings (Motivation)

- Study the complexity of problems where the solution can be checked efficiently (like NP!)
- By restricting to constant degree graphs, we study problems related to distance, while ignoring the influence of other factors.
- It is a simple class that contains many well known problems.
- Lower bounds in this model apply to less powerful models.

Question

What are the possible time complexities for LCL problems?

LCL on Cycles and Paths

- There are only three possible time complexities:
 - ► Θ(1): trivial problems
 - $\Theta(\log^* n)$: local problems (symmetry breaking)
 - $\Theta(n)$: global problems



LCL on Cycles and Paths

- There are only three possible time complexities:
 - ► Θ(1): trivial problems
 - $\Theta(\log^* n)$: local problems (symmetry breaking)
 - $\Theta(n)$: global problems
- Automatic speedups:
 - Any o(log* n)-rounds algorithm can be converted to a O(1)-rounds algorithm [Naor and Stockmeyer, 1995]
 - Any o(n)-rounds algorithm can be converted to a O(log* n)-rounds algorithm [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016]



ロ と く 母 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

LCL on Cycles and Paths

- There are only three possible time complexities:
 - ► Θ(1): trivial problems
 - $\Theta(\log^* n)$: local problems (symmetry breaking)
 - $\Theta(n)$: global problems
- Automatic speedups:
 - ► Any o(log* n)-rounds algorithm can be converted to a O(1)-rounds algorithm [Naor and Stockmeyer, 1995]
 - Any o(n)-rounds algorithm can be converted to a O(log* n)-rounds algorithm [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016]
- On cycles with no input, given an LCL description, we can *decide* its time complexity. [Naor and Stockmeyer, 1995] [Brandt et al, 2017]



ロトス通とスヨケスヨケー

Motivating Example (Grids)

- Δ-colouring in general graphs can be done in O(polylog n) rounds [Panconesi, Srinivasan 1995]
- 4-colouring in 2-dimensional balanced grids can be done in *O*(polylog *n*) rounds



A (10) A (10) A (10) A

Motivating Example (Grids)

- Δ -colouring in general graphs can be done in O(polylog n) rounds [Panconesi, Srinivasan 1995]
- 4-colouring in 2-dimensional balanced grids can be done in *O*(polylog *n*) rounds



[Brandt et al. 2017]

イボト イラト イラト

Motivating Example (Grids)

- Δ-colouring in general graphs can be done in O(polylog n) rounds [Panconesi, Srinivasan 1995]
- 4-colouring in 2-dimensional balanced grids can be done in *O*(polylog *n*) rounds



LCL on Trees

[Chang and Pettie, 2017]:

- Any $n^{o(1)}$ -rounds algorithm can be converted to a $O(\log n)$ -rounds algorithm
- There are problems of complexity $\Theta(n^{1/k})$



• There are problems with complexity $\Theta(\log n)$ [Brandt et al, 2016] [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016] [Ghaffari and Su, 2017]



- There are problems with complexity $\Theta(\log n)$ [Brandt et al, 2016] [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016] [Ghaffari and Su, 2017]
- Any $o(\log \log^* n)$ -rounds algorithm can be converted to a O(1)-rounds algorithm using the same techniques of [Naor and Stockmeyer, 1995]



- There are problems with complexity $\Theta(\log n)$ [Brandt et al, 2016] [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016] [Ghaffari and Su, 2017]
- Any o(log log* n)-rounds algorithm can be converted to a O(1)-rounds algorithm using the same techniques of [Naor and Stockmeyer, 1995]
- Any $o(\log n)$ -rounds algorithm can be converted to a $O(\log^* n)$ -rounds algorithm [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016]



イボト イラト イラト

- There are problems with complexity $\Theta(\log n)$ [Brandt et al, 2016] [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016] [Ghaffari and Su, 2017]
- Any $o(\log \log^* n)$ -rounds algorithm can be converted to a O(1)-rounds algorithm using the same techniques of [Naor and Stockmeyer, 1995]
- Any $o(\log n)$ -rounds algorithm can be converted to a $O(\log^* n)$ -rounds algorithm [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016]
- There are problems with complexities in (almost) all the other regions [Balliu, Hirvonen, Korhonen, Lempiäinen, O., Suomela, 2018]



- There are problems with complexity $\Theta(\log n)$ [Brandt et al, 2016] [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016] [Ghaffari and Su, 2017]
- Any $o(\log \log^* n)$ -rounds algorithm can be converted to a O(1)-rounds algorithm using the same techniques of [Naor and Stockmeyer, 1995]
- Any $o(\log n)$ -rounds algorithm can be converted to a $O(\log^* n)$ -rounds algorithm [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016]
- There are problems with complexities in (almost) all the other regions [Balliu, Hirvonen, Korhonen, Lempiäinen, O., Suomela, 2018]
- Many problems require $\Omega(\log n)$ and $O(\operatorname{poly} \log n)$



ロ と く 聞 と く 臣 と () 臣 と ()

- There are problems with complexity $\Theta(\log n)$ [Brandt et al, 2016] [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016] [Ghaffari and Su, 2017]
- Any $o(\log \log^* n)$ -rounds algorithm can be converted to a O(1)-rounds algorithm using the same techniques of [Naor and Stockmeyer, 1995]
- Any $o(\log n)$ -rounds algorithm can be converted to a $O(\log^* n)$ -rounds algorithm [Chang, Kopelowitz and Pettie, 2016]
- There are problems with complexities in (almost) all the other regions [Balliu, Hirvonen, Korhonen, Lempiäinen, O., Suomela, 2018]
- Many problems require $\Omega(\log n)$ and $O(\operatorname{poly} \log n)$
- Different scenario with randomized algorithms



ロ と く 聞 と く 臣 と べ 臣 と …

Trees vs General Graphs



글 🕨 🖂 글

3 × 1

Our Results



In general graphs, we can construct LCL problems with infinitely many complexities between $\omega(\sqrt{n})$ and o(n).

In trees, problems with complexities between $\omega(\sqrt{n})$ and o(n) do not exist.

(4回) (1日) (日)

In general graphs, we can construct LCL problems with infinitely many complexities between $\omega(\sqrt{n})$ and o(n)

Idea:

- Encode linear bounded automata as LCLs on grids
- Obtain complexities that depend on the execution time of the LBA

In trees, problems with complexities between $\omega(\sqrt{n})$ and o(n) do not exist.

(4月) トイヨト イヨト



э



э

イロン 不良 とくほう 不良 とう



3

ヘロト 人間 とくほとく ほとう



э

イロン 不良 とくほう 不良 とう



э

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう



э

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう



э

イロン 不良 とくほう 不良 とう



э

イロン 不良 とくほう 不良 とう

Conclusions and Open Problems



- What changes in the case of randomization?
- What happens if nodes do not know the size of the graph?
- Can we prove automatic speedups for some subclass of LCL problems?

Thank you!

Questions?

(4回) (日) (日)